

# NEVADA STATE BOARD OF DENTAL EXAMINERS 6010 S. Rainbow Boulevard, Suite A1 Las Vegas, NV 89118



<u>Video Conferencing was available for this meeting at the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners Office</u>

<u>Conference Room located at: 9600 Gateway Drive; Reno, NV 89521</u>

# **PUBLIC MEETING**

Friday, September 14, 2018 9:24 a.m.

## **Board Meeting Minutes**

<u>Please Note</u>: The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may hold board meetings via video conference or telephone conference call. The public was welcomed to attend the meeting at the Board office located at 6010 S. Rainbow Blvd, Suite A1; Las Vegas, Nevada 89118; or in the Conference room of the Nevada State Board of Medical Examiners office located at 9600 Gateway; Reno, NV 89521 (when applicable).

The Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners may 1) address agenda items out of sequence to accommodate persons appearing before the Board or to aid the efficiency or effectiveness of the meeting; 2) combine items for consideration by the public body; 3) pull or remove items from the agenda at any time. The Board may convene in closed session to consider the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person. See NRS 241.030. Prior to the commencement and conclusion of a contested case or a quasi-judicial proceeding that may affect the due process rights of an individual the board may refuse to consider public comment. See NRS 233B.126.

Public Comment time is available after roll call (beginning of meeting) and prior to adjournment (end of meeting). Public Comment is limited to three (3) minutes for each individual. You may provide the Board with written comment to be added to the public record.

Asterisks (\*) denote items on which the Board may take action.

Action by the Board on an item may be to approve, deny, amend, or table.

### 1. Call to Order, roll call, and establish quorum

Dr. Blasco called the meeting to order and Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel conducted the following roll call:

Dr. Timothy Pinther ("Dr. Pinther")	PRESENT
Dr. Byron Blasco ("Dr. Blasco")	PRESENT
Dr. Jason Champagne ("Dr. Champagne") -	PRESENT
Dr. Gregory Pisani ("Dr. Pisani")	EXCUSED
Dr. Brendan Johnson ("Dr. Johnson")	PRESENT
Dr. R. Michael Sanders ("Dr. Sanders")	PRESENT
Dr. Ali Shahrestani ("Dr. Shahrestani")	PRESENT
Ms, M Sharon Gabriel ("Ms. Gabriel")	PRESENT
Ms. Betty Pate ("Ms. Pate")	PRESENT
Ms. Yvonne Bethea ("Ms. Bethea")	PRESENT
Ms. Nikki Harris ("Ms. Harris")	PRESENT

**Others Present:** Melanie Bernstein Chapman, Board General Counsel; Sophia Long, Esquire, Deputy Attorney General/Board Co-Counsel; Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director.

**Public Attendees:** Robert Talley, NDA; Felipe M. Paleracio, LVDA; Nam Phan, DMD; Geralyn Glassbrook, Anthem Pediatric Dentistry ("APD"); Veronica Castro, APD; Deborha Staten, DMD; John Staten; Deborah Osborn, RDH, Keeping the Smiles; Rick Dragon, NDA; Jason Doucette, NDA/NNDS; Ken Vaughn, DDS; Andrea Vaughn; Kellie McGinley, DDS; Lancette VanGuilder, NDHA; Daniel Bouer, Cameraman for LVDA.

2. Public Comment: (Public Comment is limited to three (3) minutes for each individual)

Dr. Kenneth Vaughn commented on a notice of complaint he received that was filed by Tina Tsou, Secretary of the Las Vegas Dental Association ("LVDA"). Dr. Vaughn stated that Ms. Tsou filed her complaint based off a telephone call that she had with a staff member of his office and that her complaint was based off a lie and

that her illegal use of the phone " ... for solicitation to induce coercion and false imperatives," and that she appeared to be in violation of NRS 598 regarding Deceptive Trade Practice – which is written to protect people from phone scammers. Dr. Vaughn demanded that the Board notify the Secretary of State and the Governor's office to investigate Ms. Tsou, her business license, and her illegal use of phone solicitation for financial gain. Dr. Vaughn also demanded for additional information regarding the other 59 complaints that Ms. Tsou alluded to in her complaint against him. Dr. Vaughn added that he believed that the Secretary of State and the Governor's office should investigate the Las Vegas Dental Association ("LVDA"). He stated that in a statement submitted for the record of the Board's January 2017 meeting minutes, the LVDA states their reason for their creation. Dr. Vaughn noted that the very set of principles the LVDA accuses the Board of, are the exact principles that Ms. Tsou, on behalf of the LVDA, is committing. Dr. Vaughn expressed his disappointment in seeing licensees being forced into the ongoing battle between the LVDA and the Dental Board.

Dr. Jason Doucette stated that he represented the NDA. He proposed that due to the dramatic increase of costs to the Board, and the increase in caseloads to the DSO's, that possibly the NDA could add to a future agenda discussion to revisit the new process of dental complaints. Dr. Doucette suggested that, perhaps, the complaints received could be sent to the local peer review committees for review and resolution, and added that the peer reviews have a 90-plus resolution rate. He added that should a complaint need to be reviewed beyond the peer review committee that they could then forward the complaints to the Dental Board for further review. Dr. Doucette stated that this could be a possible avenue for the Board to save on investigation costs, time, and help the board become more regulatory than disciplinary, unless necessary.

Dr. Rick Dragon, President of the NDA, commented that he seconded the comments made by Dr. Doucette. He stated that if this proposal was feasible for the Board and they would like to obtain more information, the Board could possibly place the matter on a future agenda. Dr. Dragon welcomed the Board to reach out to him or Dr. Robert Talley, the Executive Director of the NDA with any questions.

Deborah Osborn, the administrator for "Keeping the Smiles" stated that she was present to answer questions about her request to amend her program to allow dental hygienists with a public health endorsement that participate in her program, to visit patients in their private homes.

Dr. Felipe Paleracio, President of the LVDA, stated that he was submitting a letter requesting the Board to consider terminating the Executive Director, Debra Shaffer-Kugel.

Dr. Nam Phan stated to the Board that he is an independent contractor that travels, and noted that he has gone onto further pursue a career in anesthesia. He stated that mediation is very important when dealing with complaints submitted to the Board regarding licensees, and suggested when the Board should mediate during the complaint process. He added that it would be ideal for the Board and dentists at the center of a complaint to work together to resolve the cases. He stated that he has issues and is only in Nevada one day a month, and has experienced a lot of hardship that required him to move to New York.

Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)

### \*3. Notice of Public Workshop: (For Possible Action)

Notice of Public Workshop, Request for Comments and review of Nevada Administrative Code Chapter 631 related to the practice of dentistry and proposed regulation changes and/or amendments pertaining to the following:

NAC 631.2227 Inspections and evaluations: Physical facilities and equipment and NAC 631.2231 Inspections and evaluations: Emergency drugs

Dr. Blasco directed the Board's attention to Dr. Brendan Johnson. Dr. Johnson stated that there were some issues with Anesthesia regulations that were drafted and approved. He stated that there were some issues pointed out that he believes can be rectified that will help them keep the main task at hand, which was to ensure the safety of the public as it pertained to administration of sedation with anesthesia. Dr. Johnson stated that the Board, perhaps, discuss the next agenda item to allow for Dr. Amanda Okundaye to call-in to the meeting to participate in the discussion of the proposed changes to the regulations.

**MOTION**: Ms. Pate moved that the Board move on to agenda item (4)(a)(1). Motion seconded by Dr. Champagne. Motion unanimously approved.

# \*4. Executive Director's Report (For Possible Action)

### \*a. Minutes - NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)

- (1) 07/13/2018 Board Meeting
- (2) 08/24/2018 Budget & Finance Committee
- (3) 10/24/2017 Board Telephone Conference

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that every Board member present should have had the opportunity to review the proposed draft minutes and inquired if there were any amendments to be made. Ms. Pate noted a typographical error on page 8 of the July 13th draft minutes, as well as on line 203 of the August 24:2018 draft minutes. Dr. Blasco, also, noted that on the August 24th draft minutes, his name was misspelled. With no further amendments or discussion, Dr. Blasco called for a motion,

**MOTION:** Dr. Sanders moved that the Board adopt the draft minutes of July 13, 2018 with the noted amendments; August 24, 2018 with the noted amendments; and the October 24, 2017. Motion was seconded by Dr. Johnson. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

**MOTION:** Dr. Champagne moved that the Board return to agenda item (3). Motion seconded by Ms. Pate. Board unanimously approved the motion.

# \*3. Notice of Public Workshop: (For Possible Action)

Notice of Public Workshop, Request for Comments and review of Nevada Administrative Code Chapter 631 related to the practice of dentistry and proposed regulation changes and/or amendments pertaining to the following:

NAC 631.2227 Inspections and evaluations: Physical facilities and equipment and NAC 631.2231 Inspections and evaluations: Emergency drugs

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that she suggested the Board review the regulations for consideration one section at a time. She stated that she would be reviewing the document titled "Current Regulations" for the discussion.

### • NAC 631.2227:

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that they were suggesting amending the regulation to be less restrictive since the size of certain appliances may vary from patient-to-patient. The following amendment(s) were proposed:

- Change section (8)(a) "a pediatric sized ambo bag" to state "appropriate sized ambo bag"
- Change section (8)(b) "pediatric blood pressure cup" to state "appropriate sized blood pressure cup"
- Change section (8)(c) to state "adequate selection of blades for the use of a patient 12 years of age or younger"
- Change section (8)(f) to state "pediatric pads" to state "appropriate sized pads for use..."
- Change section (8)(g) to state "appropriate sized oral and nasal ...."

### • NAC 621.2229:

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that there was a suggested change to add a new section. Dr. Okundaye, whom was present via teleconference, suggested the following changes:

- Add a new section, either before or after subsection (1), a section that states "Monitoring consistent with AAPB guidelines"

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel inquired if this suggested new section was patients 12 years-of-age or younger, or if it was regardless of age. Dr. Okundaye stated that it was regardless of age, but coincides with "having appropriate sized equipment" for moderate sedation providers of 12 years-of-age or younger. She added that this regulation would pertain to those with a pediatric moderate sedation permit, or those with an anesthesia residency. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel noted to Dr. Okundaye that hence going forward, yes, it would apply to those as stated by her, however, that some dentists that held conscious sedation permits – who were allowed, under that permit, to administer to patients 12 years of age or younger - were grandfathered in. Dr. Okundaye stated that those grandfathered in would, also, have to follow the AAPB guidelines.

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel reiterated the language for the proposed new section to read as:

- "A dentist who administers moderate sedation into a patient 12 years-of-age or younger, monitoring must be consistent with the AAPB guidelines."

### • NAC 631.2231:

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that this regulation was regarding the emergency drugs for offices that are inspected for general anesthesia or moderate sedation. She noted that the issue arose when subsection (2) required a specified list of emergency drugs to have in the office; unlike in subsection (1) where it lists drug categories, only; thus making subsection (2) inconsistent with subsection (1). She added that in being so specific with the drugs, permit holders were having issues with some drugs being on back order, jeopardizing a licensee to be found to be not in compliance with the regulation as written, currently. The following change was recommended:

- Eliminate the entire section and change subsection (3) to (2). This way it will state "except as provided in subsection (1), a dental office inspected for moderate sedation..."

Mrs. Bernstein Chapman suggested that the Board separate the requirements for general anesthesia from the moderate sedation requirements, to avoid ambiguity. Therefore recommending the following:

- Remove "moderate sedation" from subsection (1) so that it only refers to general anesthesia
- In subsection (2), state "Except as otherwise provided in this section, a dentist's office inspected or evaluated for the issuance or renewal of a *moderate* sedation permit or certificate of site approval must maintain the emergency drugs listed in subsection (1) except the following:
  - (d) Muscle relaxant;
  - (e) Intravenous medication for the treatment of cardiopulmonary arrest;
  - (i) Antiarrhythmic;
  - (k) Anti-hypertensive"

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel noted that there is oral moderate sedation and IV moderate sedation. Mrs. Bernstein Chapman stated that, currently, subsection (2) did not differentiate from oral moderate sedation and IV moderate sedation. Dr. Johnson explained that whether the sedation is administered orally or intravenously, it ultimately depended on the level of sedation that is administered to the patient. Dr. Okundaye recommended listing moderate sedation prior to listing general anesthesia. She added that they should list the categories needed for moderate sedation, then list the categories needed for general anesthesia under the section for general anesthesia, separately, instead of listing the exempted sections for moderate sedation. Mrs. Bernstein Chapman stated that so long as they regulations are clear on which categories of emergency drugs are required for general anesthesia and for moderate sedation, she did not foresee any potential issues. Based on further discussion, the board appeared to favor the idea of listing the categories exempt for moderate sedation versus relisting the categories needed under each permit type. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that she would draft the language in both proposed ways, and that the board could determine which format they preferred. The Board agreed to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel's suggestion to draft both formats of language.

### • NAC 631.2227:

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel noted a proposed change that came from public comment, suggesting that all offices with a anesthesia sedation permit to carry a defibrillator. Dr. Johnson stated that a defibrillator should absolutely be required for anyone with an anesthesia permit. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel suggested the following:

- (j) Capnography monitor; and
- Add new subsection (k) defibrillator

Therefore, a moderate sedation permit holder while exempt from complying with (j), would now be required to comply with subsection (k) to have a defibrillator. Dr. Okundaye and Dr. Johnson were both in agreement with the proposed changed.

Dr. Johnson stated that Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel could draft the new proposed regulation changes and present the proposed language to the Board for review and approval, at the next scheduled board meeting.

## **Public Comment:**

Kelly McGinley, a pediatric dentist in Reno, commented that in her Pediatrics program, they were trained to use a number of drugs, however, some of the drugs listed in the current regulations they did not received training on. She added that if the Board required dentists, herself included, to have drugs on hand that they were not trained to utilize, it would place the dentist in a very uncomfortable situation. Dr. McGinley voiced her support in favor of the proposed changes to remove the list of specific drugs and replacing them with drug categories, instead.

**MOTION:** Ms. Pate moved that the Board approve the proposed changes to the regulations as discussed, and for Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel to draft the language to be presented at the next board meeting for possible approval. Motion was seconded by Dr. Shahrestani. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved by the Board members present at the meeting.

## \*b. Financials - NRS 631.180/NRS 631.190 (For Possible Action)

(1) Review Balance Sheet and Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Balances for period July 1, 2017 to June 30, 2018 (Informational Purposes only)

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Ms. Hummel, the Board's accountant, to go over the review of the financial statements. Mrs. Hummel stepped forward to review the financials with the Board. She stated that she would have a final statement for FY2017 when the annual audit is completed. She briefly discussed the Revenues, Expenses, and Balances. She noted areas where the Board came under budget. Mrs. Hummel also noted to income derived from the CE courses hosted earlier in the year, which was income not projected or budgeted for. She stated that there were, otherwise, no significant changes to the budget.

\*(2) Accept/Reject recommendations from the Budget and Finance Committee to approve the proposed budget for FY 2019 (For Possible Action)

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Ms. Hummel to go over the proposed budget for FY 2019. Mrs. Hummel stated the Budget and Finance Committee held a meeting and recommended the approval of the proposed budget for FY 2019. She noted that there were some pretty significant changes being proposed. She specifically noted that they plan to offer free CE courses, which would be covered by the revenue acquired from the CE course they offered earlier in the year regarding AB474. There were some inquiries regarding the types of courses they planned to offer. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel gave examples of some of the subject matters they were considering to offer to licensees.

**MOTION:** Dr. Sanders moved that the Board approve the proposed budget for FY 2019. Motion was seconded by Dr. Johnson. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

### \*c. <u>Authorized Investigative Complaints</u> - NRS 631.360 (For Possible Action)

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel noted to the Board that she would be requesting to table items (7) and (8) of this section.

(1) Dr. T - NRS 631.368 348(6); NRS 631.3475(4); NRS 631.215(2)(f)(3); and NAC 631.230(1)(b)(For Possible Action)

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel noted that there was a typographical error, and the correct regulation was NRS 631.348(6) not 368. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations of Dr. T and recommended that the Board authorize an investigation against Dr. T.

**MOTION:** Dr. Pinther moved that the Board authorize the investigation against Dr. T. Motion was seconded by Dr. Sanders. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

(2) Dr. U - NRS 631.3475(8) and NRS 631.349 (For Possible Action)

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations of Dr. U and recommended that the Board authorize an investigation against Dr. U.

**MOTION**: Dr. Pinther moved that the Board authorize the investigation against Dr. U. Motion was seconded by Ms. Gabriel. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

(3) Dr. V - NAC 631.2211; NAC 631.2212; NAC 631.2213 and NAC 631.230(1)(p) (For Possible Action)

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations of Dr. V and recommended that the Board authorize an investigation against Dr. V.

**MOTION**: Dr. Sanders moved that the Board authorize the investigation against Dr. V. Motion was seconded by Dr. Shahrestani. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

(4) Dr. W - NRS 631.215 (For Possible Action)

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations of Dr. W and recommended that the Board authorize an investigation against Dr. W.

**MOTION**: Ms. Gabriel moved that the Board authorize the investigation against Dr. W. Motion was seconded by Dr. Pinther. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

(5) Dr. X - NRS 631.3485(2); NRS 631.3475(5 and 7); NRS 631.349; NRS 631.364 (For Possible Action)

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations of Dr. X and recommended that the Board authorize an investigation against Dr. X.

**MOTION:** Ms. Pate moved that the Board authorize the investigation against Dr. X. Motion was seconded by Dr. Sanders. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

(6) RDH X - NRS 631.3475(8); NAC 631.155 and NAC 631.230(1)(d) (For Possible Action)

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel went over the alleged violations of RDH X and recommended that the Board authorize an investigation against RDH X.

**MOTION**: Ms. Gabriel moved that the Board authorize the investigation against RDH X. Motion was seconded by Dr. Pinther. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel asked that the Board table agenda items (4)(c)(7) and (4)(c)(8).

**MOTION:** Dr. Pinther moved that the Board Table agenda items (4)(c)(7) and (4)(c)(8). Motion was seconded by Ms. Gabriel. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

(7) Dr. Y - NRS 631.348(6) (For Possible Action)

This agenda item tabled, see Motion above.

(8) Dr. Z - NRS 631.348(6) (For Possible Action)

This agenda item tabled, see Motion above.

## \*5. General Counsel's Report (For Possible Action)

## \*a. Legal Actions/Lawsuit(s) Update

(1) District Court Case(s) Update

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Chapman. Mrs. Chapman indicated to the Board that there were two (2) pending matters. She gave a brief synopsis of the case filed by Dr. Adrian Ruiz that the Board prevailed in, and that she was now seeking the Board's legal fees. She noted that the case was scheduled to be heard later in the month.

Mrs. Chapman indicated that the second lawsuit was regarding a similar case as Dr. Ruiz's – arguing that the Board should not continue with its investigation – and that the hearing was scheduled for later in the day. She added that she would provide them with an update at the next board meeting.

### \*b. Approve/Reject Stipulation Agreements (For Possible Action)

(1) Richard Chen, DMD

Dr. Blasco directed the attention to the Board's general counsel, Melanie Bernstein Chapman. Mrs. Bernstein Chapman went over the provisions of the proposed corrective action plan stipulation agreement. She stated that the proposed corrective action plan stipulation agreement was agreed to by the review panel, and recommended approval by the Board.

**MOTION**: Dr. Sanders moved that the corrective action plan stipulation agreement between the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners and Dr. Richard Chen, DMD be adopted. Motion seconded by Dr. Shahrestani. Motion was unanimously approved by the Board members present at this meeting; with an abstention by Ms. Pate, who sits on the review panel.

(2) Allyn Goodrich, DDS

Dr. Blasco directed the attention to the Board's general counsel, Melanie Bernstein Chapman. Mrs. Bernstein Chapman went over the provisions of the proposed corrective action plan stipulation agreement. She stated that the proposed corrective action plan stipulation agreement was agreed to by the review panel, and recommended approval by the Board.

**MOTION**: Dr. Champagne moved that the corrective action plan stipulation agreement between the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners and Dr. Allyn Goodrich, DDS be adopted. Motion

seconded by Ms. Gabriel. Motion was unanimously approved by the Board members present at this meeting; with an abstention by Ms. Pate, who sits on the review panel.

### (3) Deborha Staten, DMD

Dr. Blasco directed the attention to the Board general counsel, Melanie Bernstein Chapman. Mrs. Bernstein Chapman went over the provisions of the proposed corrective action plan stipulation agreement. She stated that the proposed corrective action plan stipulation agreement was agreed to by the review panel, and recommended approval by the Board.

**MOTION:** Dr. Pinther moved that the correction action plan stipulation agreement between the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners and Dr. Deborha Staten, DMD be adopted. Motion seconded by Dr. Shahrestani. Motion was unanimously approved by the Board members present at this meeting; with an abstention by Ms. Pate, who sits on the review panel.

## \*6. New Business (For Possible Action)

- \*a. "Keeping the Smiles" an approved public health dental hygiene program would like the Board to amend the program approval to include patient's private residences NAC 631.210 (6 and 7) (For Possible Action)
  - (1) Deborah Osborn, RDH

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that Ms. Osborne submitted a request to amend her program. She noted that in NAC 631.210, it states where the Board may authorize a location where a PHE holder may render treatment on patients. Additionally, she noted that Ms. Osborne was seeking the Board's approval to amend her program to include other locations to render services, such as private residences. Ms. Osborne stepped forward to answer any questions the Board may have. Ms. Osborn elaborated that her request to amend her list of locations to include nursing homes, transitional homes, and private residences, and explained that she receives requests from physicians, therapists, and families to bring treatment to patients that are bed ridden at their homes. She stated that it would be similar to treating patients at nursing homes since she is equipped with portable equipment and would be able to ensure infection control measures are in place and are being followed.

MOTION: Dr. Johnson moved that the Board approve the request to amend the program of "Keeping the Smiles" to include patient's private residences. Motion seconded by Dr. Pinther DISCUSSION: It was inquired if Ms. Osborn verifies, prior to referring a patient to a dentist, if the dentist she is referring is willing to treat patients in their homes, also. Ms. Osborne responded affirmatively and stated that she makes the dentist aware that she has the necessary equipment to assist in rendering dental treatment in a person's home. There was additional discussion regarding the referral of patients and ensuring that patients follow-up with a dentist. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved by the Board members present at the meeting.

# \*b. Consideration to approve/reject the "His Heart" dental hygiene public health program pursuant to NAC 631.210 (6 and 7) (For Possible Action)

(1) Angela M. Cameron, RDH

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Ms. Cameron was available via telephone conference. She stated that she would like to start a program that would allow her to serve in the in the underserved areas using her public health endorsement. Ms. Cameron gave a list of the treatments she would like to provide to the populations that are greatly underserved and to be able to educate on the importance of dental hygiene. Dr. Blasco inquired where Ms. Cameron was proposing to treat patients. Ms. Cameron responded that she spoke to Eddy House in downtown Reno, where they agreed to allow her to use a room in their house with mobile equipment to be able to treat homeless adults, homeless teens, and the elderly there. Ms. Cameron indicated that she had two (2) dentists, Dr. Hanson and Dr. Cercek, who have expressed interest in treating patients seen through her program. Dr. Pinther inquired if both Dr. Hanson and Dr. Cercek were licensed in Nevada. Ms. Cameron responded affirmatively. Dr. Blasco inquired further if both dentists were willing to see the patients in their office; and if they were showing interest or if they were committed? Ms. Cameron responded that both dentists were willing to see patients in their office.

**MOTION:** Dr. Pinther moved that the Board approve the "His Heart" public health program. Motion seconded by Ms. Gabriel, and unanimously approved by the Board members present at the meeting.

# \*c. Approval of Public Health Endorsement - NRS 631.287 (For Possible Action)

(1) Angela M. Cameron, RDH – His Heart

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that Ms. Cameron submitted her application for a public health endorsement and application appeared to be in order.

**MOTION:** Ms. Gabriel moved that the Board approve the application of Angela M. Cameron, RDH for a public health endorsement. Motion seconded by Dr. Pinther, and unanimously approved by the Board members present at the meeting.

Ms. Cameron thanked the Board for their approval of both the "His Heart" program and her PHE.

### \*d. Approval of Voluntary Surrender of License - NAC 631.160 (For Possible Action)

(1) Dustin Kim, DMD

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel. Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel stated that the licensee listed had no pending matters with the Board and recommended approval of the voluntary surrender. She noted that once approved, the voluntary surrender was absolute and irrevocable.

**MOTION:** Dr. Sanders moved that the board approve the request for voluntary surrender of Dr. Dustin Kim's dental licensee. Motion was seconded by Ms. Gabriel. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved.

### \*e. Approval for Anesthesia - Temporary Permit - NAC 631.2254 (For Possible Action)

- (1) Moderate Sedation 13 years of age or older (For Possible Action)
  - a. Chun Man Tsang, DMD

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Dr. Brendan Johnson. Dr. Johnson stated that while all was in order, his only concern was that the education stated 60 hours of lecture, and therefore recommended approval for a temporary moderate sedation permit, contingent upon Dr. Tsang submitting proof that course was live.

**MOTION**: Dr. Pinther moved that the board approve the temporary moderate sedation permit for Dr. Tsang pending submission of proof that course completed was a live course. Motion seconded by Dr. Sanders. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved; Dr. Johnson abstained from the motion.

- (2) Moderate Sedation (Pediatric Specialty) 21 years of age or younger (For Possible Action)
  - a. Ruth Alvarez, DDS
  - b. Kellie J. McGinley, DDS

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Dr. Brendan Johnson. Dr. Johnson stated that all was in order and recommended the approval of a temporary moderate sedation permit for Dr. Alvarez and Dr. McGinley.

**MOTION**: Dr. Pinther moved that the board approve the temporary moderate sedation permit for Dr. Alvarez and Dr. McGinley. Motion seconded by Ms. Gabriel. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved; Dr. Johnson abstained from the motion.

- \*f. Approval for a 90-Day Extension of Anesthesia Permit NAC 631.2254(2) (For Possible Action)
  - (1) Moderate Sedation (Pediatric Specialty) 21 years of age or younger (For Possible Action)
    - a. Wesley T. Archer, DMD
    - b. Travis A. Neu, DMD

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Dr. Brendan Johnson. Dr. Johnson requested approval for an extension to conduct the inspections.

**MOTION**: Dr. Sanders moved that the board approve the request for an extension. Motion seconded by Dr. Shahrestani. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved; Dr. Johnson abstained from the motion.

### (2) General Anesthesia (For Possible Action)

- a. Kristin E. Chino, DMD
- b. Shawn B. Davis, DMD
- c. Michael S. Moody, DMD
- d. Ashley T. Roberts, DMD
- e. Gregory C. Walton, DMD

Dr. Blasco drew the Board's attention to Dr. Johnson. Dr. Johnson requested approval for an extension to conduct the inspections.

**MOTION:** Ms Gabriel moved that the board approve the request for an extension. Motion seconded by Dr. Pinther. With no further discussion, the motion was unanimously approved; Dr. Johnson abstained from the motion.

# \*7. Resource Group Reports (For Possible Action)

### \*a. Legislative and Dental Practice (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Dr. Pinther; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Blasco; Dr Sanders; Ms. Harris)

Dr. Pinther stated that there was no report.

### \*b. Legal and Disciplinary Action (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Dr. Pisani; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Shahrestani; Dr. Sanders; Ms. Harris)

Dr. Blasco stated that there was no report.

### \*c. **Examinations Liaisons** (For Possible Action)

## \*(1) WREB/HERB Representatives (For Possible Action)

(Dr. Blasco; Ms. Bethea)

Dr. Blasco stated that there was no report.

Mrs. Bethea stated that there was no report.

### \*(2) ADEX Representatives (For Possible Action)

(Timothy Pinther, DDS)

(a) Report from Dr. Pinther regarding the ADEX Annual Meeting

Dr. Pinther stated that he attended the August meeting in Chicago, and noted that there were no major procedural changes; however, that the biggest news was that ADEX may likely be accepted in CA soon. He noted further, that ADEX had a new chair, Dr. William Pappas, and a new Executive Director, Kathleen Kelly.

### \*d. Continuing Education (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Dr. Blasco; Dr. Shahrestani; Dr. Pisani; Ms. Gabriel)

Dr. Blasco stated that there was no report.

## \*e. Committee of Dental Hygiene (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Ms. Gabriel; Ms. Pate; Mrs. Bethea; Dr. Shahrestani)

Ms. Gabriel stated that there was no report.

### \*f. Anesthesia (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Dr. Johnson; Dr. Pinther; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Sanders)

Dr. Johnson stated that there was no report.

### \*g. Infection Control (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Ms. Gabriel; Dr. Blasco; Dr. Champagne; Dr. Pisani; Mrs. Bethea)

Ms. Gabriel stated that there was no report.

## \*h. Budget and Finance Committee (For Possible Action)

(Chair: Dr. Champagne; Dr. Pinther; Dr. Blasco; Ms. Pate)

Dr. Champagne stated that there was no report.

8. Public Comment: (Public Comment is limited to three (3) minutes for each individual)

There was no public comment.

Note: No vote may be taken upon a matter raised under this item of the agenda until the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an item upon which action may be taken. (NRS 241.020)

### 9. Announcements:

Mrs. Shaffer-Kugel announced that the proposed 2019 meeting schedule would be presented at the November Board meeting.

Dr. Pinther stated that the board's goal was not be a disciplinary board, and stated that the board abides by NRS and NAC 631. Furthermore, that the Board is remedial by doing non-disciplinary corrective action plans.

### \*10. <u>Adjournment</u> (For Possible Action)

Dr. Blasco called for a motion to adjourn.

**MOTION**: Dr. Sanders moved that the September 14, 2018 meeting of the Nevada State Board of Dental Examiners be adjourned. Motion seconded by Dr. Champagne, and without discussion, unanimously approved by the Board. Meeting adjourned at 11:07 a.m.

Minutes approved at the November 9, 2018 Board Meeting Respectfully Submitted by:

Debra Shaffer-Kugel, Executive Director